Cases: Initial Treatment of Hepatitis C in People Living With HIV and People Who Inject Drugs

Kristen Marks, MD
Assistant Professor of Medicine
Weill Cornell Medical College
New York, New York

Off	-La	bel	W	arn	ing

I will discuss the following off-label use in this presentation: Treatment for acute HCV

Learning Objectives


After attending this presentation, learners will be able to:

- Describe special considerations for HCV treatment for people living with HIV
- Describe special considerations for HCV treatment for people with recent history of drug use

CASE 3 — HIV/HCV coinfection 29 y.o. Hispanic M with HIV, HCV Geno 3, F2 by Fibrosure (and nl liver labs) HCV Hx: Acquired 3 yrs ago, only RF unprotected sex with 2 partners Treatment naive F2 by Fibrosure HIV Hx: Diagnosed 8 yrs ago, CD4 475 HIV RNA not detected on TDF/FTC/EFV Other PMH: Recent LGV infection HBV sAg- cAb+ sAb-, HBV DNA negative

ARS Question #1: You check his formulary and his insurance covers GLE/PIB x 8 wks. You need to make an adjustment for which of the following reasons?


- 1. 8 weeks is not appropriate for patients with HIV
- 2. He needs TDF switched to TAF
- 3. GLE/PIB should not be administered with EFV
- 4. GLE/PIB does not cover Genotype 3 well



G3 INITIAL TREAMENT RECOMMENDED REGIMENS IDSA/AASLD/IAS-USA www.hcvguidelines.org NO CIRRHOSIS: Glecaprevir/pibrentasvir x 8 w Sofosbuvir/velpatasvir x 12 w Sofosbuvir/velpatasvir x 12 w *RAV testing for Y93H and add RBV if present or consider sofosbuvir/velpatasvir/voxilaprevir


Glecaprevir/pibrentasvir in GT3 Treatment-naïve without Cirrhosis (2) non-inferi ► Non-inferiority - 12W vs DAC/SOF X12W - 12W vs 8W → Viral Failure 3% G/P — 4 in 12W (3 relapse, 1 VBT) 1 in DAC/SOF - 6 in 8W (5 relapse, 1 VBT) 40 ► BL Y93H: 5/5 SVR 20 ▶ BL dual NS3/NS5A - 71-86% SVR G/P SOF + DCV G/P 8 weeks Tx emergent RAS 50% failures with A30K BL A30K+Y93 (69-fold R SURVEYOR-II – G3 with Cirrhosis 48 patients received G/P +/- RBV x 12 w = 100% SVR Foster et al. EASL 2017

Glecaprevir/pibrentasvir in GT3 Treatment-naïve without Cirrhosis — A30K effect? Non-inferiority 12W vs DAC/SOF X12W 12W vs BW Viral Failure 3% G/P 4 in 12W (3 relapse, 1 VBT) 1 in DAC/SOF 6 in 8W (5 relapse, 1 VBT) Tx emergent RAS 50% failures with A30K BL 6 % patients overall had a BL A30K A30K SURVEYOR-II - G3 with Cirrhosis 48 patients received 6/P +/ - RBV x 12 w = 100% SVR



Glecaprevir/pibrentasvir: HIV GT 1-6 Primarily an 8 week study 12 weeks in 16 patients with cirrhosis TN or TE (19%) with IFN, P/R or SOF+P/R VBT on treatment – GT3 with cirrhosis Rockstrob et al. EASL 2017

Drug Interactions Between DAAs and ARV Drugs—Recommended Regimens						
Green indicates coadministration is saf	e; yellow indicates dose c	range or additional monitor	ring is warranted; pink indic	ates combination should b	e avoided.	
	Ledipasviri Sofosbuviri Velpatasviri (LDV/SOF) (SOF-VEL)		Elbasvir/ Grazoprevir (ELB/GRZ)	Glecaprevir/ Pibrentasvir (GLEPIB)	Sofosbuvirf/lelpetasviri Voxilapravir (SOF/VEL/VOX)	
Ritonavir-boosted atazanavir (ATZ)	▲ LDV ▲ ATZ*	A VEL A ATZ*	▲ ELB ▲ GRZ ▲ ATZ	▲ GLE ▲ PIB ▲ ATZ	▲ VOX ▲ ATZ	
Ritonavir-boosted darunavir (DRV)	▲ LOV → P DRV*	4F VEL 4F DRV*	▲ ELB ▲ GRZ ◀► DRV	▲ GLE ◀► PIB ▲ DRV	▲ VOX ▼ DRV	
Ritonavir-boosted lopinavir (LPV)	No dels*	4F VEL 4F UV	▲ ELB ▲ GRZ ◀► UPV	▲GLE ▲PB ▲LPV	No data	
Ritonavir-boosted tipranavir (TPVV)	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data	
Efavirenz (EFV)	▼ LDV ▼ EFV*	▼ VEL. ▼ EPV	▼ELB ▼GRZ ▼EFV	No data	No data	
Rilpivirine (RPV)	◆► LOV ◆► RPV	◆► VEL ◆► RPV	◆ELB ◆FORZ ◆FRPV	◆ GLE ◆ PIB ▲ RPV	◆► VOX ▼ RPV	
Etravirine (ETV)	No data	No data	No data	No data	No data	
Rategravir (RAL)	◆► LOV ◆► RAL	4 P VEL 4 P RAL	◆► ELB ◆► GRZ ▲ RAL	◆P OLE ◆P PIB ▲ RAL.	No data	
Cobicistat-boostedelvitegravir (COB)	▲ LDV ▲ C08*	▲ VEL ▲ 008*	▲ ELB ▲ GRZ ▲ COB	▲GLE ▲PIB ▲COB	▲ VOX ▲ COB*	
Dolutegravir (DTG)	←► LDV ←► DTO	◆► VEL ◆► DTO	◆ ELB ◆ GRZ ▲ DTO	▼GLE ▼PIB ▲DTO	No data	
Tenofovir Alafenamide (TAT) / Emtricitabine (FTC) /Biotegravir (BIC)	▼LDV 4►BIC	No dets	No data	No data	◆ VOX ▲ BIC	
Maraviroc (MVC)	No della	No della	No data	No data	No data	
Tenofovir (TFV) disoproxil fumerate	◆F LOV ▲ TFV ^c	◆► VEL _A TEV ^a	◆ ELB ◆ GRZ ▲ TFV	▲ TFV	▲ TFV ^o	
Tenofovir (TEV) stafenamide	∢► LOV ▲ TPV ⁴	◆F VEL A TFV ⁴	No della	∢> TEV	▲ TFV°	



Guidelines Recommendation about use of LDV or VEL with TDF

SOF/LDV + TDF CrCl < 60 mL/min: AVOID CrCl > 60: MONITOR

SOF/VEL + TDF CrCl < 60 mL/min: AVOID CrCl > 60: MONITOR

SOF/LDV + TDF + cobi- or ritonavir-boosted PI Any CrCl: AVOID if possible,

Consider TAF

SOF/VEL + TDF + cobi- or ritonavir-boosted PI CrCl < 60 mL/min: AVOID CrCl > 60: MONITOR or consider TAF

 For combinations expected to increase tenofovir levels, baseline and ongoing assessment for tenofovir nephrotoxicity is recommended.
 Rating: Class III, Level C


CASE 3 - HIV/HCV coinfection (Cont)

His ARVS are changed to TAF/FTC/BIC and he is tolerating well. He receives 8 weeks of GLE/PIB and achieves SVR12.

ARS Question #2: True or False: His risk of HCV reinfection is extremely low. No further follow up testing is recommended.

- 1. True
- 2. False

Polling Open



Initial Treatment Algorithm

Algorithm

- HCV genotype/subtype & resistance
- HIV status
- Cirrhosis yes/no duration
 - If yes, decompensated? (e.g., ascites, encephalopathy, etc)
 If yes, don't use PIs!
- Renal function
- Avoid Sof if CrCl <30
- Medications
- Address drug interactions
 Ribavirin is a teratogen
- On treatment/Follow up

Our case patient


- 3, resistance testing recommended if cirrhosis and epclusa
- HIV check drug drug interactions (efavirenz)
- Cirrhosis no
 8 wk regimens
- CrCl nl, SOF ok
- Medications: efavirenz not recommended with SOF/VEL or GLE/PIB
- need to monitor for HCV reinfection

CASE 4 - HCV in PWID

19 Caucasian W recently admitted for skin and soft tissue infection. Noted to have track marks by a medical resident who sees her in ED. She confides that she has recently started injecting heroin after it became too expensive to acquire oxycodone. She has one partner who injects her. Her family is unaware of her drug addiction. She is discharged from ED with antibiotics and while she declines substance abuse treatment referral, she takes information about a harm reduction center.

PMH:

Depression - suicide attempt age, 17



CASE 4 - cont

She is seen in urgent care for a skin and soft tissue infection. She also reports some malaise. The provider asks about sharing needles and she reports that she has not. (She is injecting in a group setting and had learned it was safest to be the first one to use a syringe but does not think to mention she shared other things).

Lahs reveal:

 ${
m HIV}~{
m 4}^{
m th}$ gen test - ${
m neg}$

HBV sAb+ sAg-

HCV Ab positive

AST 250

ALT 320 Bili 1.2

CASE 4 - cont

The urgent care doctor calls her and urges her to see a primary doctor for further HCV RNA testing.

She feels fine and decides she will take care of it the next time she needs to see a doctor. She also knows someone who tried to get treated but insurance did not cover it.


But this news does prompt her to go with a friend to the harm reduction program.


Harm Reduction Kit




- 1. Clean Bottle for mixing water and bleach.
 2. Bleach to disinfect used syrings when a clean one isn't available.
 3. Bandages to help avoid infection after injecting.
 4. Sterille water to mix the drug with.
 5. Tournique

Increased injection drug use has shifted HCV demographics








Restrictions often specifically exclude PWID Comparing 2014 & 2016 Medicaid FFS Sobriety Requirements 2014 FFS Medicaid Sobriety Requirements 2014 FFS Medicaid Sobriety Requirements 2014 FFS Medicaid Sobriety Requirements 2015 FFS Medicaid Sobriety Requirements 2015 FFS Medicaid Sobriety Requirements 2016 FFS Medicaid Sobriety Requirements 2018 FFS Medica

ARS Question #3: All PWID should be denied HCV treatment because...

- 1. No treatment data with DAAs
- 2. Reinfection rates are too high
- 3. They have low fibrosis levels so does not benefit them
- 4. None of the above

What is the definition of 'PWID' (people who inject drugs?


- 'PWID' is a subjective term to any person who has ever injected drugs. (once regularly, occasionally, remotely)
- PWID populations
- "active" or "recent" PWID injected drugs within 1 month to 1 year (definition varies)
- "former" PWID ceased injecting drugs




C-EDGE COSTAR – Clinical Trial of patients receiving opiate agonist therapy


Treatment naive PWID on opiate agonist therapy for 3 months, keeping 80% of appointments

> Treated with EBV/GRZ





Sof/vel for HCV infection in recent PWID (SIMPLIFY) SVR12 = 97/103 (94%)








people who use or inject drugs: a systematic review										
and meta-analysis										
	Number of studies or sobstudies	Number of participants	Treatment completion (95% CI)	ř.	(ITT SVR (95% CI)	*	(95% CI)	*	Loss to follow- up (95% CI)	P
Exclusive study population	/subpopulation									
lecent IDU, with or without OST	8	670	96-9% (95-6-98-2)	0.0%	87-4% (82-0-92-8)	80.8%	91.7% (87-9-95-4)	661%	28% (05-52)	74.8%
DST, with or without recent DU/non-IDU	25	2331	97-5% (96-5-98-5)	49.9%	92.6% (90.2-94.9)	79.5%	953% (93-6-97-0)	72.5%	3.0% (1.7-4-3)	65.5%
Other	10	633	965% (945-985)	457%	867% (802-932)	87-0%	93-8% (90-3-97-2)	76-3%	739 (26-119)	88-1%
itudy design										
Observational	28	2483	96-9% (95-9-98-0)	51.6%	88-8% (85-8-91-9)	87.1%	93-4% (91-3-95-5)	802%	46% (29-63)	841%
Sinical trial	10	1151	982% (974-990)	0.0%	93.9% (92.5-95.3)	7.2%	96.2% (94.6-97.8)	52.4%	25% (12-38)	51:1%
U-injecting drug use. ITT-into	antique to focul or	(TTomostifue) into	profiles to beaut year. If I	homes below	ting drug upe. OST-opinis	substitution	therapy, SVE-sustained v	enlogical e	monte.	












Models of Treatment Delivery for PWID

- The shift from INF-based therapy to DAA has allowed for increased decentralization of care
- Treatment environments that can provide multi-disciplinary services around addiction, social support, mental health, and re-infection prevention will be essential
- Examples of models being evaluated include:
- · integrated primary care facilities
- methadone clinics
- prison



CONCLUSIONS CASE 4

- DAA therapy is safe and effective among PWID
- HCV reinfection will occur when treating HCV in PWID
- Testing, diagnosis, and linkage to care remain a significant barrier that must be addressed
- Simplification of models of care will be essential to achieve HCV elimination in PWID

Resources - HCVguidelines.org - nynjaetc.org - http://www.hep-druginteractions.org THANK YOU CENTER FOR THE STREET OF THE STR

Question-and-Answer

Remember to raise your hand and wait until you have the microphone before you ask your question—we are recording!